

# POINTWISE WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS WITH ENDPOINT SINGULARITIES BY COMBINATIONS OF BERNSTEIN OPERATORS

WEN-MING LU AND LIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We give direct and inverse theorems for the weighted approximation of functions with endpoint singularities by combinations of Bernstein operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The set of all continuous functions, defined on the interval  $I$ , is denoted by  $C(I)$ . For any  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , the corresponding *Bernstein operators* are defined as follows:

$$B_n(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x),$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) := \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Approximation properties of Bernstein operators have been studied very well (see [2], [3], [5]-[8], [12]-[14], for example). In order to approximate the functions with singularities, Della Vecchia et al. [3] and Yu-Zhao [12] introduced some kinds of *modified Bernstein operators*. Throughout the paper,  $C$  denotes a positive constant independent of  $n$  and  $x$ , which may be different in different cases.

Let

$$w(x) = x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \quad \alpha + \beta > 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

and

$$C_w := \{f \in C((0, 1)) : \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (wf)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (wf)(x) = 0\}.$$

The *norm* in  $C_w$  is defined by  $\|wf\|_{C_w} := \|wf\| = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |(wf)(x)|$ . Define

$$W_{w,\lambda}^r := \{f \in C_w : f^{(r-1)} \in A.C.((0, 1)), \quad \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\| < \infty\}.$$

For  $f \in C_w$ , define the *weighted modulus of smoothness* by

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w := \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \{ \|w \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r f\|_{[16h^2, 1-16h^2]} + \|w \vec{\Delta}_h^r f\|_{[0, 16h^2]} + \|w \overleftarrow{\Delta}_h^r f\|_{[1-16h^2, 1]} \},$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A10, Secondary 41A17.

*Key words and phrases*. Combinations of Bernstein polynomials; Functions with endpoint singularities; Direct and inverse results.

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} f(x + (\tfrac{r}{2} - k)h\varphi(x)), \\ \vec{\Delta}_h^r f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} f(x + (r - k)h), \\ \overleftarrow{\Delta}_h^r f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} f(x - kh),\end{aligned}$$

and  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ . Della Vecchia *et al.* firstly introduced  $B_n^*(f, x)$  and  $\bar{B}_n(f, x)$  in [3], where the properties of  $B_n^*(f, x)$  and  $\bar{B}_n(f, x)$  are studied. Among others, they prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(f - B_n^*(f))\| &\leq C\omega_\varphi^2(f, n^{-1/2}), \quad f \in C_w, \\ \|\bar{w}(f - \bar{B}_n(f))\| &\leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{[\sqrt{n}]} k^2 \omega_\varphi^2(f, \tfrac{1}{k})_{\bar{w}}^*, \quad f \in C_{\bar{w}},\end{aligned}$$

where  $w(x) = x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . In [11], for any  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $n \geq 2r + \alpha + \beta$ , there hold

$$\begin{aligned}\|wB_{n,r}^*(f)\| &\leq C\|wf\|, \quad f \in C_w, \\ \|w(B_{n,r}^*(f) - f)\| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{n^r}(\|wf\| + \|w\varphi^{2r}f^{(2r)}\|), & f \in W_w^{2r}, \\ C(\omega_\varphi^{2r}(f, n^{-1/2})_w + n^{-r}\|wf\|), & f \in C_w. \end{cases} \\ \|w\varphi^{2r}B_{n,r}^{*(2r)}(f)\| &\leq \begin{cases} Cn^r\|wf\|, & f \in C_w, \\ C(\|wf\| + \|w\varphi^{2r}f^{(2r)}\|), & f \in W_w^{2r}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

and for  $0 < \gamma < 2r$ ,

$$\|w(B_{n,r}^*(f) - f)\| = O(n^{-\gamma/2}) \iff \omega_\varphi^{2r}(f, t)_w = O(t^\gamma).$$

Ditzian and Totik [5] extended this method of combinations and defined the following combinations of Bernstein operators:

$$B_{n,r}(f, x) := \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) B_{n_i}(f, x).$$

with the conditions

- (a)  $n = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{r-1} \leq Cn$ ,
- (b)  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} |C_i(n)| \leq C$ ,
- (c)  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) = 1$ ,
- (d)  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) n_i^{-k} = 0$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, r-1$ .

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Now, we can define our *new combinations of Bernstein operators* as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad B_{n,r}^*(f, x) := B_{n,r}(F_n, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) B_{n_i}(F_n, x),$$

where  $C_i(n)$  satisfy the conditions (a)-(d). For the details, it can be referred to [11]. Our main results are the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , for any  $f \in C_w$ , we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \|wB_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f)\| \leq Cn^r \|wf\|.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , we have*

$$(2.3) \quad |w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq \begin{cases} Cn^{r/2}\{\max\{n^{r(1-\lambda)/2}, \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x)\}\} \|wf\|, & f \in C_w, \\ C\|w\varphi^{r\lambda}f^{(r)}\|, & f \in W_{w,\lambda}^r. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $f \in C_w$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in (0, r)$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , we have*

$$(2.4) \quad w(x)|f(x) - B_{n,r-1}^*(f, x)| = O((n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi^{-\lambda}(x)\delta_n(x))^{\alpha_0}) \iff \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w = O(t^{\alpha_0}).$$

### 3. LEMMAS

**Lemma 3.1.** ([13]) *For any non-negative real  $u$  and  $v$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{-v} p_{n,k}(x) \leq Cx^{-u}(1-x)^{-v}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** ([3]) *If  $\gamma \in R$ , then*

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n |k - nx|^\gamma p_{n,k}(x) \leq Cn^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \varphi^\gamma(x).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $f \in W_{w,\lambda}^r$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \|w\varphi^{r\lambda}F_n^{(r)}\| \leq C\|w\varphi^{r\lambda}f^{(r)}\|.$$

*Proof.* By symmetry, we only prove the above result when  $x \in (0, 1/2]$ , the others can be done similarly. Obviously, when  $x \in (0, 1/n]$ , by [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_r^{(r)}(f, x)| &\leq C|\bar{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{r}}^r f(0)| \leq Cn^{-\frac{r}{2}+1} \int_0^{\frac{x}{n}} u^{\frac{r}{2}} |f^{(r)}(u)| du \\ &\leq Cn^{-\frac{r}{2}+1} \|w\varphi^{r\lambda}f^{(r)}\| \int_0^{\frac{x}{n}} u^{\frac{r}{2}} w^{-1}(u) \varphi^{-r\lambda}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)F_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq C\|w\varphi^{r\lambda}f^{(r)}\|.$$

If  $x \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)F_n^{(r)}(x)| &\leq |w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)f^{(r)}(x)| + |w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)(f(x) - F_n(x))^{(r)}| \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - F_n(x) &= (\psi(nx - 1) + 1)(f(x) - L_r(f, x)). \\ w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)|(f(x) - F_n(x))^{(r)}| &= w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \sum_{i=0}^r n^i |(f(x) - L_r(f, x))^{(r-i)}|. \end{aligned}$$

By [5], then

$$|(f(x) - L_r(f, x))^{(r-i)}|_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]} \leq C(n^{r-i}\|f - L_r\|_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]} + n^{-i}\|f^{(r)}\|_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}), \quad 0 < j < r.$$

Now, we estimate

$$(3.4) \quad I := w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)|f(x) - L_r(x)|.$$

By Taylor expansion, we have

$$(3.5) \quad f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} \frac{\left(\frac{i}{n} - x\right)^u}{u!} f^{(u)}(x) + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds,$$

It follows from (3.5) and the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^v l_i(x) = Cx^v, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(f, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} \frac{\left(\frac{i}{n} - x\right)^u}{u!} f^{(u)}(x) l_i(x) + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds \\ &= f(x) + C \sum_{u=1}^{r-1} f^{(u)}(x) \left( \sum_{v=0}^u C_u^v (-x)^{u-v} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^v l_i(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) |f(x) - L_r(f, x)| = \frac{1}{r!} w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds,$$

since  $|l_i(x)| \leq C$  for  $x \in [0, \frac{2}{n}]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . It follows from  $\frac{|\frac{i}{n} - s|^{r-1}}{w(s)} \leq \frac{|\frac{i}{n} - x|^{r-1}}{w(x)}$ ,  $s$  between  $\frac{i}{n}$  and  $x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) |f(x) - L_r(f, x)| &\leq C w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \sum_{i=1}^r \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} |f^{(r)}(s)| ds \\ &\leq C \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\| \sum_{i=1}^r \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} \varphi^{-r\lambda}(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^r} \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I \leq C \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|.$$

So, we get

$$I_2 \leq C \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|.$$

Above all, we have

$$|w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) F_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq C \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|.$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $f \in W_{w,\lambda}^r$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , then*

$$(3.6) \quad |w(x)(f(x) - L_r(f, x))|_{[0, \frac{2}{n}]} \leq C \left(\frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n} \varphi^\lambda(x)}\right)^r \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|.$$

$$(3.7) \quad |w(x)(f(x) - R_r(f, x))|_{[1-\frac{2}{n}, 1]} \leq C \left(\frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n} \varphi^\lambda(x)}\right)^r \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|.$$

*Proof.* By Taylor expansion, we have

$$(3.8) \quad f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} \frac{\left(\frac{i}{n} - x\right)^u}{u!} f^{(u)}(x) + \frac{1}{r!} \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds,$$

It follows from (3.8) and the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^v l_i(x) = Cx^v, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(f, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} \frac{\left(\frac{i}{n} - x\right)^u}{u!} f^{(u)}(x) l_i(x) + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds \\ &= f(x) + C \sum_{u=1}^{r-1} f^{(u)}(x) \left( \sum_{v=0}^u C_u^v (-x)^{u-v} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^v l_i(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$w(x) |f(x) - L_r(f, x)| = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} w(x) \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(x) \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(s) ds,$$

since  $|l_i(x)| \leq C$  for  $x \in [0, \frac{2}{n}]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

It follows from  $\frac{|\frac{i}{n} - s|^{r-1}}{w(s)} \leq \frac{|\frac{i}{n} - x|^{r-1}}{w(x)}$ ,  $s$  between  $\frac{i}{n}$  and  $x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) |f(x) - L_r(f, x)| &\leq Cw(x) \sum_{i=1}^r \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} |f^{(r)}(s)| ds \\ &\leq C \frac{\varphi^r(x)}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)} \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\| \sum_{i=1}^r \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} \varphi^{-r}(s) ds \\ &\leq C \frac{\delta_n^r(x)}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)} \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\| \sum_{i=1}^r \int_x^{\frac{i}{n}} \left(\frac{i}{n} - s\right)^{r-1} \varphi^{-r}(s) ds \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)} \right)^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3.7) can be done similarly. □

**Lemma 3.5.** ([11]) For every  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|wB_{n,r-1}^*(f)\| \leq C\|wf\|.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** ([15]) If  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , then

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \varphi^{-r\beta} \left(x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k\right) du_1 \cdots du_r \leq Ch^r \varphi^{r(\lambda-\beta)}(x).$$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREMS

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** By symmetry, in what follows we will always assume that  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . It is sufficient to prove the validity for  $B_{n,r-1}(F_n, x)$  instead of  $B_{n,r-1}^*(f, x)$ . When  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| &\leq w(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{n_i!}{(n_i-r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} |\vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\leq Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} |\vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\leq Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} \sum_{j=0}^r C_r^j |F_n(\frac{k+r-j}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\leq Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{j=0}^r C_r^j |F_n(\frac{r-j}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,0}(x) \\
&\quad + Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{j=0}^r C_r^j |F_n(\frac{n_i-j}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,n_i-r}(x) \\
&\quad + Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{k=1}^{n_i-r-1} \sum_{j=0}^r C_r^j |F_n(\frac{k+r-j}{n_i})| p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\quad := H_1 + H_2 + H_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &\leq Cw(x) \|wf\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r w^{-1}(\frac{1}{n_i}) p_{n_i-r,0}(x) \\
&\leq C \|wf\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r (n_i x)^\alpha (1-x)^{n_i-r} \\
&\leq C n^r \|wf\|.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $1 \leq k \leq n_i - r - 1$ , we have  $1 \leq k + 2r - j \leq n_i - 1$ , and thus

$$\frac{w(\frac{k}{n_i-r})}{w(\frac{k+r-j}{n_i})} = (\frac{n_i}{n_i-r})^{\alpha+\beta} (\frac{k}{k+r-j})^\alpha (\frac{n_i-r-k}{n_i-r-k+j})^\beta \leq C.$$

Thereof, by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_3 &\leq Cw(x) \|wF_n\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{k=1}^{n_i-r-1} \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{1}{w(\frac{k+r-j}{n_i})} p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\leq Cw(x) \|wF_n\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} n_i^r \sum_{k=1}^{n_i-r-1} \frac{1}{w(\frac{k}{n_i-r})} p_{n_i-r,k}(x) \\
&\leq C n^r \|wF_n\| \leq C n^r \|wf\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get  $H_2 \leq C n^r \|wf\|$ . So

$$(4.1) \quad |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq C n^r \|wf\|, \quad x \in (0, \frac{1}{n}).$$

When  $x \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , according to [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \\ &= |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{(r)}(F_n, x)| \\ &\leq w(x)(\varphi^2(x))^{-r} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=0}^r |Q_j(x, n_i)| n_i^j \sum_{k=0}^n |(x - \frac{k}{n_i})^j F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i, k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$Q_j(x, n_i) = (n_i x(1-x))^{\lfloor \frac{r-j}{2} \rfloor}$ , and  $(\varphi^2(x))^{-r} Q_j(x, n_i) n_i^j \leq C(n_i/\varphi^2(x))^{\frac{r+j}{2}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| &\leq Cw(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\frac{n_i}{\varphi^2(x)}\right)^{\frac{r+j}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} |(x - \frac{k}{n_i})^j F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i, k}(x) \\ (4.2) \quad &\leq C\|wF_n\|w(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\frac{n_i}{\varphi^2(x)}\right)^{\frac{r+j}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \frac{|x - \frac{k}{n_i}|^j}{w(\frac{k^*}{n_i})} p_{n_i, k}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k^* = 1$  for  $k = 0$ ,  $k^* = n_i - 1$  for  $k = n_i$  and  $k^* = k$  for  $1 < k < n_i$ . Note that

$$w^2(x) \frac{p_{n_i, 0}(x)}{w^2(\frac{1}{n_i})} \leq C(n_i x)^{2\alpha} (1-x)^{n_i} \leq C,$$

and

$$w^2(x) \frac{p_{n_i, n_i}(x)}{w^2(1 - \frac{1}{n_i})} \leq Cn_i^\beta x^{n_i} \leq C \frac{n_i^\beta}{2^{n_i}} \leq C.$$

By (3.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \frac{1}{w^2(\frac{k^*}{n_i})} p_{n_i, k}(x) \leq Cw^{-2}(x).$$

Now, applying Cauchy's inequality, by (3.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \frac{|x - \frac{k}{n_i}|^j}{w(\frac{k^*}{n_i})} p_{n_i, k}(x) &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_i} |x - \frac{k}{n_i}|^{2j} p_{n_i, k}(x)\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \frac{1}{w^2(\frac{k^*}{n_i})} p_{n_i, k}(x)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Cn_i^{-j/2} \varphi^j(x) w^{-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this to (4.2), we have

$$(4.4) \quad |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq Cn^r \|wf\|, \quad x \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}].$$

We get Theorem 2.1 by (4.1) and (4.4).  $\square$

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** (1) When  $f \in C_w$ , we proceed it as follows:

*Case 1.* If  $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , by (2.2), we have

$$(4.5) \quad |w(x)\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq Cn^{-r\lambda/2} |w(x)B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq Cn^{r(1-\lambda/2)} \|wf\|.$$

Case 2. If  $\varphi(x) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| = |B_{n,r-1}^{(r)}(F_n, x)| \\ & \leq (\varphi^2(x))^{-r} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=0}^r |Q_j(x, n_i) C_i(n)| n_i^j \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} |(x - \frac{k}{n_i})^j F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i, k}(x), \end{aligned}$$

$Q_j(x, n_i) = (n_i x(1-x))^{\lfloor \frac{r-j}{2} \rfloor}$ , and  $(\varphi^2(x))^{-r} Q_j(x, n_i) n_i^j \leq C(n_i/\varphi^2(x))^{\frac{r+j}{2}}$ .  
So

$$\begin{aligned} & |w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \\ & \leq C w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\frac{n_i}{\varphi^2(x)}\right)^{\frac{r+j}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} |(x - \frac{k}{n_i})^j F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| p_{n_i, k}(x) \\ (4.6) \quad & \leq C n^{\frac{r}{2}} \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from combining with (4.5) and (4.6) that the first inequality is proved.

(2) When  $f \in W_{w, \lambda}^r$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad B_{n,r-1}^{(r)}(F_n, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} C_i(n) n_i^r \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} \vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(\frac{k}{n_i}) p_{n_i-r, k}(x).$$

If  $0 < k < n_i - r$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad |\vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(\frac{k}{n_i})| \leq C n_i^{-r+1} \int_0^{\frac{r}{n_i}} |F_n^{(r)}(\frac{k}{n_i} + u)| du,$$

If  $k = 0$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(0)| \leq C \int_0^{\frac{r}{n_i}} u^{r-1} |F_n^{(r)}(u)| du,$$

Similarly

$$(4.10) \quad |\vec{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n_i}}^r F_n(\frac{n_i-r}{n_i})| \leq C n_i^{-r+1} \int_{1-\frac{r}{n_i}}^1 (1-u)^{\frac{r}{2}} |F_n^{(r)}(u)| du.$$

By (4.7)-(4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \\ (4.11) \quad & \leq C w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} F_n^{(r)}\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} (w \varphi^{r\lambda})^{-1}(\frac{k^*}{n_i}) p_{n_i-r, k}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k^* = 1$  for  $k = 0$ ,  $k^* = n_i - r - 1$  for  $k = n_i - r$  and  $k^* = k$  for  $1 < k < n_i - r$ . By (3.1), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n_i-r} (w \varphi^{r\lambda})^{-1}(\frac{k^*}{n_i}) p_{n_i-r, k}(x) \leq C (w \varphi^{r\lambda})^{-1}(x).$$

which combining with (4.12) give

$$|w(x) \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_{n,r-1}^{*(r)}(f, x)| \leq C \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} f^{(r)}\|. \square$$

So we get the second inequality of the Theorem 2.2.

### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3.



4.3.1. *The direct theorem.* We know

$$(4.13) \quad F_n(t) = F_n(x) + F'_n(t)(t-x) + \cdots + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_x^t (t-u)^{r-1} F_n^{(r)}(u) du,$$

$$(4.14) \quad B_{n,r-1}((\cdot-x)^k, x) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1.$$

According to the definition of  $W_{w,\lambda}^r$ , for any  $g \in W_{w,\lambda}^r$ , we have  $B_{n,r-1}^*(g, x) = B_{n,r-1}(G_n(g), x)$ , and  $w(x)|G_n(x) - B_{n,r-1}(G_n, x)| = w(x)|B_{n,r-1}(R_r(G_n, t, x), x)|$ , thereof  $R_r(G_n, t, x) = \int_x^t (t-u)^{r-1} G_n^{(r)}(u) du$ . It follows from  $\frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{w(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|^{r-1}}{w(x)}$ ,  $u$  between  $t$  and  $x$ , we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} w(x)|G_n(x) - B_{n,r-1}(G_n, x)| &\leq C \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| w(x) B_{n,r-1} \left( \int_x^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{w(u)\varphi^{r\lambda}(u)} du, x \right) \\ &\leq C \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| w(x) (B_{n,r-1} \left( \int_x^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{\varphi^{2r\lambda}(u)} du, x \right))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad (B_{n,r-1} \left( \int_x^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{w^2(u)} du, x \right))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

also

$$(4.16) \quad \int_x^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{\varphi^{2r\lambda}(u)} du \leq C \frac{|t-x|^r}{\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)}, \quad \int_x^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{w^2(u)} du \leq \frac{|t-x|^r}{w^2(x)}.$$

By (3.2), (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} w(x)|G_n(x) - B_{n,r-1}(G_n, x)| &\leq C \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| \varphi^{-r\lambda}(x) B_{n,r-1}(|t-x|^r, x) \\ &\leq C n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \frac{\varphi^r(x)}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)} \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq C n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \frac{\delta_n^r(x)}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)} \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| \\ &= C \left( \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)} \right)^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3), (3.6), (3.7) and (4.17), when  $g \in W_{w,\lambda}^r$ , then

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} w(x)|g(x) - B_{n,r-1}^*(g, x)| &\leq w(x)|g(x) - G_n(g, x)| + w(x)|G_n(g, x) - B_{n,r-1}^*(g, x)| \\ &\leq |w(x)(g(x) - L_r(g, x))|_{[0, \frac{2}{n}]} + |w(x)(g(x) - R_r(g, x))|_{[1-\frac{2}{n}, 1]} \\ &\quad + C \left( \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)} \right)^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} G_n^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)} \right)^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in C_w$ , we choose proper  $g \in W_{w,\lambda}^r$ , by (3.9) and (4.18), then

$$\begin{aligned} w(x)|f(x) - B_{n,r-1}^*(f, x)| &\leq w(x)|f(x) - g(x)| + w(x)|B_{n,r-1}^*(f - g, x)| \\ &\quad + w(x)|g(x) - B_{n,r-1}^*(g, x)| \\ &\leq C(\|w(f - g)\| + \left( \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)} \right)^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\|) \\ &\leq C\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \frac{\delta_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}\varphi^\lambda(x)})_w. \square \end{aligned}$$

4.3.2. *The inverse theorem.* We define the weighted main-part modulus for  $D = R_+$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(C, f, t)_w &= \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|w \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r f\|_{[Ch^*, \infty)}, \\ \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(1, f, t)_w &= \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w.\end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 2^{1/\beta(0)-1}$ ,  $\beta(0) > 0$  and  $h^*$  is given by

$$h^* = \begin{cases} (Ar)^{1/1-\beta(0)} h^{1/1-\beta(0)}, & 0 \leq \beta(0) < 1, \\ 0, & \beta(0) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The main-part  $K$ -functional is given by

$$K_{r, \varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r)_w = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \inf_g \{ \|w(f - g)\|_{[Ch^*, \infty)} + t^r \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\|_{[Ch^*, \infty)} \},$$

where  $g^{(r-1)} \in A.C.((Ch^*, \infty))$ . By ([5]), we have

$$(4.19) \quad C^{-1} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w \leq C \int_0^t \frac{\Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \tau)_w}{\tau} d\tau,$$

$$(4.20) \quad C^{-1} K_{r, \varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r)_w \leq \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w \leq C K_{r, \varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r)_w.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ , by (4.20), we choose proper  $g$  so that

$$(4.21) \quad \|w(f - g)\| \leq C \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w, \quad \|w \varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\| \leq C \delta^{-r} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w.$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}|w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r f(x)| &\leq |w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r (f(x) - B_{n, r-1}^*(f, x))| + |w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r B_{n, r-1}^*(f - g, x)| \\ &\quad + |w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r B_{n, r-1}^*(g, x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^r C_r^j (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\delta_n(x + (\frac{r}{2} - j)h\varphi^\lambda(x))}{\varphi^\lambda(x + (\frac{r}{2} - j)h\varphi^\lambda(x))})^{\alpha_0} \\ &\quad + \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} w(x) B_{n, r-1}^{*(r)}(f - g, x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k) du_1 \cdots du_r \\ &\quad + \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} w(x) B_{n, r-1}^{*(r)}(g, x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k) du_1 \cdots du_r \\ (4.22) \quad &:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously

$$(4.23) \quad J_1 \leq C(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi^{-\lambda}(x) \delta_n(x))^{\alpha_0}.$$

By (2.2) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}J_2 &\leq C n^r \|w(f - g)\| \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} du_1 \cdots du_r \\ &\leq C n^r h^r \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \|w(f - g)\| \\ (4.24) \quad &\leq C n^r h^r \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w.\end{aligned}$$

By the first inequality of (2.3), we let  $\lambda = 1$ , and (3.10) as well as (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq C n^{\frac{r}{2}} \|w(f - g)\| \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \varphi^{-r}(x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k) du_1 \cdots du_r \\
 &\leq C n^{\frac{r}{2}} h^r \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|w(f - g)\| \\
 (4.25) \quad &\leq C n^{\frac{r}{2}} h^r \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x) \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the second inequality of (2.3) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 &\leq C \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\| w(x) \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} w^{-1}(x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k) \varphi^{-r\lambda}(x + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k) du_1 \cdots du_r \\
 &\leq C h^r \|w\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\| \\
 (4.26) \quad &\leq C h^r \delta^{-r} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (4.22)-(4.26), we get

$$|w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r f(x)| \leq C \{ (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n(x))^{\alpha_0} + h^r (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n(x))^{-r} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w + h^r \delta^{-r} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w \}.$$

When  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n(x) < (n-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{n-1}(x) \leq \sqrt{2} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n(x),$$

Choosing proper  $x, n \in N$ , so that

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n(x) \leq \delta < (n-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{n-1}(x),$$

Therefore

$$|w(x) \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r f(x)| \leq C \{ \delta^{\alpha_0} + h^r \delta^{-r} \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta)_w \}.$$

By Borens-Lorentz lemma in [5], we get

$$(4.27) \quad \Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w \leq C t^{\alpha_0}.$$

So, by (4.27), we get

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)_w \leq C \int_0^t \frac{\Omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \tau)_w}{\tau} d\tau = C \int_0^t \tau^{\alpha_0-1} d\tau = C t^{\alpha_0}.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. Butzer, Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* 5 (1953), pp. 559-567.
- [2] H. Berens and G. Lorentz, Inverse theorems for Bernstein polynomials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 21 (1972), pp. 693-708.
- [3] D. Della Vechhia, G. Mastroianni and J. Szabados, Weighted approximation of functions with endpoint and inner singularities by Bernstein operators, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 103 (2004), pp. 19-41.
- [4] Z. Ditzian, A global inverse theorem for combinations of Bernstein polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 26 (1979), pp. 277-292.
- [5] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York (1987).
- [6] S.S. Guo, C.X. Li and X.W. Liu, Pointwise approximation for linear combinations of Bernstein operators, *J. Approx. Theory* 107 (2000), pp. 109-120.
- [7] S.S. Guo, H. Tong and G. Zhang, Pointwise weighted approximation by Bernstein operators, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 101 (2003), pp. 293-311.
- [8] G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein Polynomial*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto (1953).
- [9] L.S. Xie, Pointwise simultaneous approximation by combinations of Bernstein operators, *J. Approx. Theory* 137 (2005), pp. 1-21.
- [10] L.S. Xie, The saturation class for linear combinations of Bernstein operators, *Arch. Math.* 91 (2008), pp. 86-96.

- [11] D.S. Yu, weighted approximation of functions with singularities by combinations of Bernstein operators, J. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 206(2008), pp. 906-918.
- [12] D.S. Yu and D.J. Zhao, Approximation of functions with singularities by truncated Bernstein operators, Southeast Bull. Math. 30 (2006), pp. 1178-1189.
- [13] D.X. Zhou, Rate of convergence for Bernstein operators with Jacobi weights, Acta Math. Sinica 35 (1992), pp. 331-338.
- [14] D.X. Zhou, On smoothness characterized by Bernstein type operators, J. Approx. Theory 81 (1994), pp. 303-315.
- [15] J.J. Zhang, Z.B. Xu, Direct and inverse approximation theorems with Jacobi weight for combinations and higher derivatives of Baskakov operators (in Chinese), Journal of systems science and mathematical sciences. 2008 28 (1), pp. 30-39.

WEN-MING LU

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HANGZHOU DIANZI UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* lu\_wenming@163.com

LIN ZHANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* godyalin@163.com; linyz@zju.edu.cn